#### Hidden Markov Models

Modified from: <a href="http://www.cs.iastate.edu/~cs544/Lectures/lectures.html">http://www.cs.iastate.edu/~cs544/Lectures/lectures.html</a>

## Nucleotide frequencies in the human genome

A	С	Т	G
29.5	20.4	20.5	29.6

#### Written CpG to distinguish from a C=G base pair) CpG Islands

- CpG dinucleotides are rarer than would be expected from the independent probabilities of C and G.
  - Reason: When CpG occurs, C is typically chemically modified by methylation and there is a relatively high chance of methyl-C mutating into T
- High CpG frequency may be biologically significant;
   e.g., may signal promoter region ("start" of a gene).
- A CpG island is a region where CpG dinucleotides are much more abundant than elsewhere.

### Hidden Markov Models

- Components:
  - Observed variables
    - Emitted symbols
  - Hidden variables
  - Relationships between them
    - Represented by a graph with transition probabilities
- Goal: Find the most likely explanation for the observed variables

#### The occasionally dishonest casino

- A casino uses a fair die most of the time, but occasionally switches to a loaded one
  - Fair die: Prob(1) = Prob(2) = ... = Prob(6) = 1/6
  - Loaded die: Prob(1) = Prob(2) = . . . = Prob(5) = 1/10, Prob(6) =  $\frac{1}{2}$
  - These are the *emission* probabilities
- Transition probabilities
  - Prob(Fair  $\rightarrow$  Loaded) = 0.01
  - Prob(Loaded  $\rightarrow$  Fair) = 0.2
  - Transitions between states obey a Markov process

## An HMM for the occasionally dishonest casino





#### The occasionally dishonest casino

- Known:
  - The structure of the model
  - The transition probabilities
- Hidden: What the casino did
   FFFFFLLLLLLFFFFF...
- Observable: The series of die tosses - 3415256664666153...
- What we must infer:
  - When was a fair die used?
  - When was a loaded one used?
    - The answer is a sequence FFFFFFFFFLLLLLFFFF...

## Making the inference

- Model assigns a probability to each explanation of the observation:
  - P(326|FFL)
  - $= P(3|F) \cdot P(F \rightarrow F) \cdot P(2|F) \cdot P(F \rightarrow L) \cdot P(6|L)$
  - $= 1/6 \cdot 0.99 \cdot 1/6 \cdot 0.01 \cdot \frac{1}{2}$
- Maximum Likelihood: Determine which explanation is most likely
  - Find the path most likely to have produced the observed sequence
- Total probability: Determine probability that observed sequence was produced by the HMM
  - Consider all paths that could have produced the observed sequence

#### Notation

- x is the sequence of symbols emitted by model
  - $x_i$  is the symbol emitted at time i
- A path,  $\pi$ , is a sequence of states
  - The *i*-th state in  $\pi$  is  $\pi_i$
- a<sub>kr</sub> is the probability of making a transition from state k to state r.

$$a_{kr} = \Pr(\pi_i = r \mid \pi_{i-1} = k)$$

•  $e_k(b)$  is the probability that symbol b is emitted when in state k

$$e_k(b) = \Pr(x_i = b \mid \pi_i = k)$$



#### The occasionally dishonest casino

$$\boldsymbol{X}=\left\langle \boldsymbol{X}_{1},\boldsymbol{X}_{2},\boldsymbol{X}_{3}
ight
angle =\left\langle \boldsymbol{6,2,6}
ight
angle$$

		$\Pr(x, \pi^{(i)})$	$^{(1)}) = a_{0F}e_{F}(6)a_{FF}e_{F}(2)a_{FF}e_{F}(6)$
×	$\pi^{(1)} = FFF$		$= 0.5 \times \frac{1}{6} \times 0.99 \times \frac{1}{6} \times 0.99 \times \frac{1}{6}$ $\approx 0.00227$
	$\pi^{(2)} = LLL$	Pr( <i>x</i> ,π <sup>0</sup>	
	$\pi^{(3)} = LFL$	$\Pr(x,\pi^0)$	$(3) = a_{0L}e_{L}(6)a_{LF}e_{F}(2)a_{FL}e_{L}(6)a_{L0}$
			$= 0.5 \times 0.5 \times 0.2 \times \frac{-}{6} \times 0.01 \times 0.5$
			≈ 0.0000417

#### The most probable path

The most likely path  $\pi^*$  satisfies  $\pi^* = \arg \max \Pr(x, \pi)$ To find  $\pi^*$ , consider all possible ways the last symbol of x could have been emitted Let

$$v_{k}(i) = \text{Prob. of path} \langle \pi_{1}, \dots, \pi_{i} \rangle \text{ most likely}$$
  
to emit  $\langle x_{1}, \dots, x_{i} \rangle$  such that  $\pi_{i} = k$   
Then  
 $v_{k}(i) = e_{k}(x_{i}) \max_{r}(v_{r}(i-1)a_{rk})$ 

## The Viterbi Algorithm

Initialization (i = 0)

$$v_0(0) = 1, v_k(0) = 0 \text{ for } k > 0$$

- Recursion (i = 1, ..., L): For each state k $v_k(i) = e_k(x_i) \max_r(v_r(i-1)a_{rk})$
- Termination:

$$\Pr(\boldsymbol{x}, \pi^*) = \max_{\boldsymbol{k}} (\boldsymbol{v}_{\boldsymbol{k}}(\boldsymbol{L})\boldsymbol{a}_{\boldsymbol{k}0})$$

To find  $\pi^*$ , use trace-back, as in dynamic programming

#### Viterbi: Example



$$v_k(i) = e_k(x_i) \max_r(v_r(i-1)a_{rk})$$



 $\pi$ 

#### Viterbi gets it right more often than not

Rolls	315116246446644245321131631164152133625144543631656626566666
Die	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Viterbi	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Rolls	6511664531326512456366646316366631623264552352666666625151631
Die	LLLLLFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Viterbi	LLLLLFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Rolls	222555441666566563564324364131513465146353411126414626253356
Die	FFFFFFFFLLLLLLLLLFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Viterbi	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Rolls	366163666466232534413661661163252562462255265252266435353336
Die	LLLLLLFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Viterbi	LLLLLLLLLFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Rolls	23312162536441443233516324363366556246666626326666612355245242
Die	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF
Viterbi	FFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFFF

## An HMM for CpG islands



Emission probabilities are 0 or 1. E.g.  $e_{G}(G) = 1$ ,  $e_{G}(T) = 0$ 

See Durbin et al., Biological Sequence Analysis,. Cambridge 1998

## Total probabilty

Many different paths can result in observation x.

The probability that our model will emit x is  $Pr(x) = \sum_{\pi} Pr(x, \pi)$ Probability

If HMM models a family of objects, we want total probability to peak at members of the family. (Training)

## Total probability

Pr(x) can be computed in the same way as probability of most likely path.

$$f_k(i) = \text{Prob. of observing} \langle x_1, \dots, x_i \rangle$$
  
assuming that  $\pi_i = k$ 

Then  $f_k(i) = e_k(x_i) \sum_r f_r(i-1)a_{rk}$ and  $Pr(x) = \sum_r f_k(L)a_{k0}$ 

Let

### The Forward Algorithm

- Initialization (*i* = 0)  $f_0(0) = 1, f_k(0) = 0$  for k > 0
- Recursion (i = 1, ..., L): For each state k $f_k(i) = e_k(x_i) \sum_r f_r(i-1) a_{rk}$
- Termination:

$$\Pr(x) = \sum_{k} f_{k}(L) a_{k0}$$

## The Backward Algorithm

Initialization (i = L)

$$b_k(L) = a_{k0}$$
 for all k

• Recursion (i = L-1, ..., 1): For each state k

$$b_{k}(i) = \sum_{i} a_{ki} e_{i}(x_{i+1}) b_{i}(i+1)$$

• Termination:

$$Pr(x) = \sum_{i} a_{0i} e_{i}(x_{1}) b_{i}(1)$$

## Posterior Decoding

 How likely is it that my observation comes from a certain state?

P(x<sub>i</sub> is emitted by state k | whole observation)

- Like the Forward matrix, one can compute a Backward matrix
- Multiply Forward and Backward entries

$$P(\pi_i = k \mid x) = \frac{f_k(i) \cdot b_k(i)}{P(x)}$$

 P(x) is the total probability computed by, e.g., forward algorithm

#### Posterior Decoding

With prob 0.05 for switching to the loaded die:



With prob 0.01 for switching to the loaded die:



# Estimating the probabilities ("training")

- Baum-Welch algorithm
  - Start with initial guess at transition probabilities
  - Refine guess to improve the total probability of the training data in each step
    - May get stuck at local optimum
  - Special case of expectation-maximization (EM) algorithm
- Viterbi training
  - Derive probable paths for training data using Viterbi algorithm
  - Re-estimate transition probabilities based on Viterbi path
  - Iterate until paths stop changing

## Profile HMMs

- Model a family of sequences
- Derived from a multiple alignment of the family
- Transition and emission probabilities are position-specific
- Set parameters of model so that total probability peaks at members of family
- Sequences can be tested for membership in family using Viterbi algorithm to match against profile

#### Profile HMMs

A. Sequence alignment

Ν	٠	-	L	S
Ν		F	L	S
Ν	ĸ	Y	L	Т
Q	٠	W	-	Т

RED POSITION REPRESENTS ALIGNMENT IN COLUMN GREEN POSITION REPRESENTS INSERT IN COLUMN PURPLE POSITION REPRESENTS DELETE IN COLUMN

B. Hidden Markov model for sequence alignment



## Profile HMMs: Example

An alignment of proteins from the HMM:



The states giving this alignment:  $B \longrightarrow M1 \longrightarrow M2 \longrightarrow M3 \longrightarrow E$   $B \longrightarrow M1 \longrightarrow M2 \longrightarrow M3 \longrightarrow E$   $B \longrightarrow M1 \longrightarrow D2 \longrightarrow M3 \implies I3 \implies E$  $B \longrightarrow M1 \longrightarrow M2 \implies I2 \implies M3 \longrightarrow E$ 

Source: http://www.csit.fsu.edu/~swofford/bioinformatics\_spring05/

## Pfam

- "A comprehensive collection of protein domains and families, with a range of wellestablished uses including genome annotation."
- Each family is represented by two multiple sequence alignments and two profile-Hidden Markov Models (profile-HMMs).
- <u>A. Bateman et al.</u> <u>Nucleic Acids Research</u> (2004) Database Issue 32:D138-D141

#### Lab 5



#### Some recurrences

$$v_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}(i) = e_{\mathcal{M}_{1}}(x_{i}) \cdot \max \begin{cases} a_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{M}_{1}} \cdot v_{\beta}(i-1) \\ a_{\mathcal{I}_{1}\mathcal{M}_{1}} \cdot v_{\mathcal{I}_{1}}(i-1) \end{cases} \\ v_{\mathcal{I}_{1}}(i) = e_{\mathcal{I}_{1}}(x_{i}) \cdot a_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{I}_{1}} \cdot v_{\beta}(i-1) \\ v_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}(i) = e_{\mathcal{O}_{1}}(-) \cdot a_{\mathcal{B}\mathcal{O}_{1}} \cdot v_{\beta}(i) \end{cases}$$



#### More recurrences

$$v_{M_{2}}(i) = e_{M_{2}}(x_{i}) \cdot \max \begin{cases} a_{I_{2}M_{2}} \cdot v_{I_{2}}(i-1) \\ a_{M_{1}M_{2}} \cdot v_{M_{1}}(i-1) \\ a_{D_{1}M_{2}} \cdot v_{D_{1}}(i-1) \end{cases}$$

$$v_{I_{2}}(i) = e_{I_{2}}(x_{i}) \cdot a_{M_{1}I_{2}} \cdot v_{M_{1}}(i-1)$$

$$v_{D_{2}}(i) = e_{D_{2}}(-) \cdot a_{M_{1}D_{2}} \cdot v_{M_{1}}(i)$$

$$\prod_{\substack{i = 0 \\ i = 0 \\$$

	3	Т	A	G	3
Begin	1	0	0	0	0
<b>M</b> <sub>1</sub>	0	0.35			
M <sub>2</sub> M <sub>3</sub> I <sub>1</sub>	0	0.04			
	0	0			
	0	0.025			
I <sub>2</sub>	0	0			
I <sub>3</sub>	0	0			
I <sub>4</sub>	0	0			
D <sub>1</sub>	0.2	0			
D <sub>2</sub>	0	0.07			
D <sub>3</sub>	0	0			
End	0	0			